



Modeling the Intransitive Pairwise Image Preference from Multiple Angles

Jun Chen, Chaokun Wang, Jianmin Wang

School of Software, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P.R. China

Motivations

- Intransitive preference exists in pairwise comparisons.
- Images can be compared from more than one angle.
- Inconsistent image rankings from different angles.

Contributions

- Multi-angle preference (MAP) models.
- Balance between the most/least liked angles.
- User/Image feature representations in multiple angles.

Intransitive Preference with Multiple Angles

- User rates one image differently under multiple (latent) angles.
- User compares two images according to *the joint set of the most/least liked angles (highest/lowest rating)* of the two images.
- Comparing image 1 and 2 with average rating, she uses the joint set of the most liked angles {TH, SO}. She rates image 1 as $(3+5)/2=4$, and rates image 2 as $(5+2)/2=3.5$, showing she prefers image 1 to 2.
- Similarly, in other pairwise comparisons, it has $1>2$, $2>3$, but $3>1$.
- Due to different set of compared angles, intransitive preference happens.

Table 1: A user's angle-wise ratings on different images. r denotes the 5-scale rating. Images ①–③ are selected from [15]. Images ④–⑥ are selected from [11]. Abbreviations: CL-colors, SO-salience object, TH-theme, ST-sentiment, BS-body shape, TC-top clothing, BC-bottom clothing, SL-style.

No	Image	Angle	r	No	Image	Angle	r
①		CL	2	④		BS	1
		SO	3			TC	5
		TH	5			BC	3
		ST	4			SL	4
②		CL	3	⑤		BS	1
		SO	5			TC	4
		TH	2			BC	5
		ST	1			SL	1
③		CL	5	⑥		BS	3
		SO	2			TC	3
		TH	4			BC	3
		ST	3			SL	5

Multi-Angle Preference (MAP) Models

- Represent each image/user with a latent feature matrix
- Define u 's preference on i with row-wise inner product $\mathbf{p}_{uv_i} = \mathbf{U}_u \odot \mathbf{V}_i \in \mathbb{R}^D$
- The family of MAP models (argFmax/argFmin is the function to fetch the indices w.r.t the F max/min values in the vector):

Most-like rule model

$$r_{v_i > u v_j}^{ML} = \frac{1}{F} \left(\sum_{x \in \text{argFmax } \mathbf{p}_{uv_i}} (\mathbf{p}_{uv_i}[x] - \mathbf{p}_{uv_j}[x]) - \sum_{y \in \text{argFmax } \mathbf{p}_{uv_j}} (\mathbf{p}_{uv_j}[y] - \mathbf{p}_{uv_i}[y]) \right)$$

Least-like rule model

$$r_{v_i > u v_j}^{LL} = \frac{1}{F} \left(\sum_{x \in \text{argFmin } \mathbf{p}_{uv_i}} (\mathbf{p}_{uv_i}[x] - \mathbf{p}_{uv_j}[x]) - \sum_{y \in \text{argFmin } \mathbf{p}_{uv_j}} (\mathbf{p}_{uv_j}[y] - \mathbf{p}_{uv_i}[y]) \right)$$

Two-side balance rule model

$$r_{v_i > u v_j}^{TS} = \eta r_{v_i > u v_j}^{ML} + (1 - \eta) r_{v_i > u v_j}^{LL}, (0 \leq \eta \leq 1)$$

- Learning \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} with SGD: $\underset{\Theta}{\text{argmin}} L = - \sum_{(u, v_i, v_j) \in \mathcal{D}} \ln \sigma(r_{v_i > u v_j}^{\circ}) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \Theta^2$

Learning algorithm

```

initialize  $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \sim \text{Gaussian}(\mathbf{0}, \lambda \mathbf{I})$ .
for  $i \in \{1, \dots, T\}$  do
  shuffle  $\mathcal{D}$ 
  for  $(u, a, b) \in \mathcal{D}$  do
    compute  $\text{argFmax } \mathbf{p}_{uv_i}, \text{argFmax } \mathbf{p}_{uv_j}$ .
    compute  $\text{argFmin } \mathbf{p}_{uv_i}, \text{argFmin } \mathbf{p}_{uv_j}$ .
    compute  $r_{v_i > u v_j}^{ML}$  using Eq. (2).
    compute  $r_{v_i > u v_j}^{LL}$  using Eq. (3).
    compute  $r_{v_i > u v_j}^{TS}$  using Eq. (4).
    for  $x \in \{1, \dots, D\}$  do
       $\mathbf{u} \leftarrow (1 - \alpha \lambda) \mathbf{U}_u[x, :] + \alpha (1 - \sigma(r_{v_i > u v_j}^{TS})) \frac{\partial r_{v_i > u v_j}^{TS}}{\partial \mathbf{U}_u[x, :]}$ 
       $\mathbf{v}_i \leftarrow (1 - \alpha \lambda) \mathbf{V}_i[x, :] + \alpha (1 - \sigma(r_{v_i > u v_j}^{TS})) \frac{\partial r_{v_i > u v_j}^{TS}}{\partial \mathbf{V}_i[x, :]}$ 
       $\mathbf{v}_j \leftarrow (1 - \alpha \lambda) \mathbf{V}_j[x, :] + \alpha (1 - \sigma(r_{v_i > u v_j}^{TS})) \frac{\partial r_{v_i > u v_j}^{TS}}{\partial \mathbf{V}_j[x, :]}$ 
    end for
     $\mathbf{U}_u[x, :], \mathbf{V}_i[x, :], \mathbf{V}_j[x, :] \leftarrow \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j$ 
  end for
end for
return  $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}$ 

```

Experiments

- Datasets: Holidays (scenery images), Aesthetics (fashion images).
- The accuracy of correct prediction of pairwise image comparison.
- Superior accuracy performance of MAP models versus baselines.
- The models of intransitive reciprocal relations (BC-Inner, BC-Dist) perform badly on datasets with not enough intransitive relations.
- The most/least liked angles for different images are usually different since most of their overlap is less than 0.5. (That is a reason why personalization is important)

